



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/593,765	06/14/2000	Hisashi Ohtani	0756-2149	8038

7590

03/18/2003

ROBINSON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE
PMB 955
21010 SOUTHBANK STREET
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CUONG QUANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/593,765

Applicant(s)

OHTANI ET AL.

Examiner

Cuong Q Nguyen

Art Unit

2811

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, 20-26, 28-30, 48- 51, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Hsieh (US 5,153,142) in view of Tran et al. (US 5,273,910).

Hsieh discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a doped polysilicon layer (14) formed on a surface of an insulating layer (12), the polysilicon layer including source/drain regions, and a channel region in therebetween; a gate insulating film (16) formed on the poly silicon layer; a gate electrode (18) formed on the gate insulating film; a first insulating film (20, an inorganic silicon oxide layer) covering at least semiconductor layer and gate electrode except for contact holes opened therein; an electrode (32, an aluminum layer) formed over the second insulating film and connected to one of source/drain regions; a transparent pixel electrode (30, an ITO

Art Unit: 2811

layer) formed over the second insulating film, wherein the pixel electrode electrically connected to the electrode and is located under the electrode. See Hsieh's Fig.8.

Hsieh does not teach that the layer (14) is a single crystal silicon layer which includes a first impurity region, a second impurity region, and a channel region in therebetween; a second insulating film of a polyimide organic resin layer formed between the electrode, pixel electrode and the first insulating film.

Tran discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor layer (42) can be formed of a single crystal silicon or a polysilicon layer on a surface of an insulating layer (51), the semiconductor including a first impurity region (45), a second impurity region (46), and a channel region in therebetween; a gate insulating film (43) formed on the semiconductor layer; a gate electrode (49) formed on the gate insulating film; an insulating film (a planarization layer 53) formed over the insulating surface, the planarization layer is planarized by coating with a second insulating film (a coating layer of polyimide organic resin layer); the insulating film (53) layer can be a double or triple layer. See Tran's Fig.5b and col.10 lines 8-32 and col.9 lines 60-64 and col.10 lines 1-30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the layer (14) in Hsieh's device of a single crystal silicon layer instead of polysilicon layer as taught by Tran et al. because single crystal silicon and polysilicon are common materials for forming the channel region in the thin film transistor device and they are

Art Unit: 2811

interchangeable. It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat the inorganic first insulating film (20) in Hsieh's device with a polyimide layer as taught by Tran in order to obtain a smooth planarization layer (see Tran's col.10, lines 8-32). One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do so because it is easier to form other element such as metalization on top of a smooth planarization interlayer insulating film than an uneven surface interlayer insulating film as shown in Hsieh's device.

Claims 5, 12, 19, 17, 31-47 and 52, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Hsieh in view of Tran et al. and further in view of Liauh (US 5,027,185).

Hsieh and Tran teach all the limitations of claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, 20-26, 28-30, as shown above. However, Hsieh and Liauh do not teach that the conductor comprises a second conductive film of TiN.

Regarding claims 5, 12, 19, 27, 31-35, 52, Liauh discloses a semiconductor device having electrodes connected to source/drain regions (3) comprise a first conductive film (11, an Al layer) and a second conductive film (10, a TiN layer) between the first conductive film and source/drain regions. See Liauh's Fig.8.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the electrode of double layer TiN/Al as taught by Liauh because TiN layer acts as a barrier layer to

Art Unit: 2811

prevent the migration of Al layer into the silicon source /drain regions which causes the junction spiking. See Liauh's col.2, lines 44-49.

Regarding claims 36-41, as above the electrode is formed of a TiN layer under an Al layer, therefore it is inherent that the TiN is interposed between the pixel electrode and the Al layer.

Regarding claims 42-47, as shown in Hsieh's Fig.8, another electrode formed the same material as the electrode connected to another source/drain region.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Tran et al. does not teach the polyimide layer formed on a silicon oxide layer (the inorganic layer). In responses, as mentioned above, Tran et al. teaches the layer (53) can be stack of insulating layers. So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the polyimide layer on top of silicon oxide layer (20) in Hsieh's device.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2811

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to CUONG Q NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 308-1293. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor TOM THOMAS who can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone

Serial Number: 09/593,765

Page 7

Art Unit: 2811

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)
308-7722 or 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should
~~be directed to the Technology Center Receptionists whose telephone number is 308-~~
0956.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Cuong Nguyen', with a stylized, cursive script.

Cuong Nguyen

Primary examiner

March 17, 2003